



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,072	02/11/2004	Michael E. Farmer	65858-0028	2106

10291 7590 03/13/2007  
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC  
39533 WOODWARD AVENUE  
SUITE 140  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610

EXAMINER
----------

TO, TUAN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3663

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/13/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/776,072

Applicant(s)

FARMER, MICHAEL .E.

Examiner

Tuan C. To

Art Unit

3663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-26 and 34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 4, 9 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 22-26 and 34 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 7, 13, 16 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 18-21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 6 refers back to canceled claim 5. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

The previous indicated allowability of claim 5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to li et al. (US 20040042651A1). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owechko et al. (US 6801662B1) and in view of Gutta et al. (US 20030063796A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Owechko et al. teaches a classification system for generating a classification of an occupant obtained with at least one image sensor

Art Unit: 3663

(Owechko et al., abstract) comprising: a grouping system which includes a plurality of group, for example, a plurality of occupant types (Owechko et al, abstract), wherein each occupant type includes at least one class (edges, motion, and range (Owechko et al, abstract; figure 1). Owechko et al. further teaches a selection subsystem that provides for generating said classification using the information obtained from the image sensor (Owechko et al, figure 1, the image 105 of occupant has been captured by the image sensor, and next there are a plurality of subsystem (modules) provided to generate classification).

The second reference to Gutta et al. teaches a classification system in which there are a plurality of groups includes a first group, said first group comprising a first class, and a second group comprises the first class and a second class (Gutta et al. figure 1).

While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See In re Mraz, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).

Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the classification system taught by Owechko et al. to include the teaching of Gutta et al in order to enhance the process of virtual images classification for the vehicle driver and therefore to identify whether the driver seat is occupied by the vehicle driver.

Art Unit: 3663

As to claim 2, Owechko et al. further teaches the classification modules (Owechko et al, column 4, lines 41-62) provided for a prior determination, wherein generating of said classification by said classification modules is influenced by said prior determination (Owechko et al, column 4, lines 56-62).

As to claim 6, Gutta et al. also shows the third group comprise the first class, the second class, and a third class (Gutta et al., figure 1).

As to claim 13, Owechko et al. teaches a safety restraint application (airbag enablement/disablement). The target information (occupant image) has been classified, and the safety restraint system is either enable or disable based upon the result of the classification (Owechko et al., figure 1; column 4, lines 53-62).

As to claim 16, Owechko et al. discloses a plurality of classes includes an RFIS, a child, and an adult (Owechko et al., column 5, lines 45-67).

As to claim 17, Owechko et al. teaches that the occupant image has been captured by at least one image sensor (Owechko et al, abstract).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 22-26, and 34 are allowable since the prior art fails to disclose said classification using belief metric and plausibility metric.

Claims 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3663

### **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tuan C To', is written over a horizontal line.

Tuan C To

September 04, 2006